Asymmetric Resting Scapular Posture in Healthy Overhead Athletes
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Context: Observation of the scapular posture is one of the most important components of the physical examination in overhead athletes. Postural asymmetry is typically considered to be associated with injuries. However, asymmetry in the overhead athlete’s scapula may be normal due to the dominant use of the limb. 

Objective: To quantify the differences in resting scapular posture between the dominant and nondominant sides in 3 groups of healthy overhead athletes (baseball pitchers, volleyball players, and tennis players) using an electromagnetic tracking device.

Design: Cross-sectional design.

Setting: University-based biomechanics laboratory.

Patients or Other Participants: A total of 43 players participated, including 15 baseball pitchers, 15 volleyball players, and 13 tennis players. All participants were healthy college-aged men.

Intervention(s): Bilateral 3-dimensional scapular kinematics with the arm at rest were measured using an electromagnetic tracking device.

Main Outcome Measure(s): Bilateral scapular position and orientation were measured. Between-groups and between-sides differences in each variable were analyzed using separate analyses of variance.

Results: In tennis players, the scapula was more protracted on the dominant side than on the nondominant side (P < .05). In all overhead athletes, the dominant-side scapula was more internally rotated (P = .001) and anteriorly tilted (P = .001) than the nondominant-side scapula was.

Conclusions: The dominant-side scapula of the overhead athlete was more internally rotated and anteriorly tilted than the nondominant-side scapula. The dominant-side scapula of the tennis players was more protracted than that on the nondominant side. Clinicians evaluating overhead athletes need to recognize that scapular posture asymmetry in unilateral overhead athletes may be normal. Our results emphasize the importance of the baseline evaluation in this population in order to accurately assess pathologic change in bilateral scapular positions and orientations after injury.
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Key Points
- Overhead athletes displayed more anterior tilt and internal rotation of the dominant shoulder.
- Scapular postural asymmetry in unilateral overhead athletes should be documented on the baseline evaluation, because it may be normal and unrelated to injury.

Observation of the scapular posture is one of the most important components of the physical examination in overhead athletes. During the clinical examination, clinicians observe the asymmetry in shoulder and scapular posture, such as muscle atrophy, bony contour, excessive scapular winging, inferior angle prominence, and presence of a scar. Postural asymmetries found during the clinical examination may be related to abnormalities. However, many clinicians agree that asymmetric findings in shoulder posture are quite common, regardless of the presence of abnormalities. The dominant shoulder typically is positioned lower than the nondominant shoulder in most people. Anecdotally, this has been attributed to the stretching of the ligaments, joint capsules, and muscles from more frequent use of the dominant shoulder compared with that on the nondominant side. This asymmetry in shoulder posture is believed to be further accentuated in unilateral overhead athletes who use their dominant shoulder repetitively in a forceful manner.

Despite the importance of the postural evaluation in the physical examination, research on postural asymmetry in the shoulder is lacking. Therefore, the presence of asymmetry often is considered to be problematic without clear evidence of same. For example, Burkhart et al used the acronym SICK (scapular malposition, inferior medial border prominence, coracoid pain and malposition, and dyskinesis) to describe the asymmetry of the scapula commonly seen in overhead athletes with shoulder abnormalities. Burkhart et al theorized that this asymmetry is a sign of the underlying alteration in the muscle activation associated with various shoulder conditions, based on the assumption that the asymmetry is abnormal. Similarly, the lateral scapular slide test is a clinical examination used to identify scapular asymmetry, based on the idea that the asymmetry is an abnormality that needs to be identified and rehabilitated.

A digital inclinometer and tape measure have been used to quantify scapular posture asymmetry in patients with shoulder abnormalities. However, the investigators using
these devices have been unable to demonstrate the asymmetry in healthy groups or those with abnormalities. The inability to describe scapular posture 3-dimensionally may be a reason for the lack of significant findings in these studies. Three-dimensional evaluation of scapula posture using moiré topographic analysis has demonstrated a higher prevalence of scapular asymmetry in participants with shoulder abnormalities. However, the scapular position and orientation contributing to the asymmetry cannot be calculated using this method. Electromagnetic tracking devices allow calculation of 3-dimensional scapular positions and orientations (Figure 1). Quantification of the 3-dimensional scapular posture enables the researcher to identify the specific scapular kinematic variables that contribute to the scapular posture asymmetry.

The purpose of our study was to quantify the resting scapular posture in 3 groups of healthy overhead athletes (baseball pitchers, volleyball players, and tennis players) by using an electromagnetic tracking device to determine whether these groups of overhead athletes displayed asymmetry in resting scapular posture. We hypothesized that the asymmetry would be present in all 3 groups of healthy overhead athletes from the repetitive use of the dominant shoulder. Identifying scapular asymmetry in healthy overhead athletes is important because it provides a basis for comparison with injured overhead athletes.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Fifteen collegiate baseball pitchers (age = 20.0 ± 1.1 years, height = 181.5 ± 7.1 cm, mass = 88.1 ± 14.8 kg; 13 right-hand dominant, 2 left-hand dominant), 15 competitive volleyball players (university club; age = 21.5 ± 2.9 years, height = 188.4 ± 5.7 cm, mass = 85.4 ± 7.3 kg; all right-hand dominant), and 13 competitive tennis players (university club; age = 21.8 ± 2.8 years, height = 177.4 ± 5.6 cm, mass = 78.9 ± 8.2 kg; 12 right-hand dominant, 1 left-hand dominant) participated in this study. The dominant limb was identified as the arm that would be used to throw a ball. Only men were recruited for this study to control for possible sex differences. Those with a previous history of shoulder surgery or traumatic injury (dislocation, subluxation, or acromioclavicular joint sprain) were excluded from this study. Participants with shoulder or elbow pain within 6 months of testing also were excluded from the study.

**Instrumentation**

We used the Motion Monitor electromagnetic tracking device (Innovative Sports Training, Inc, Chicago, IL) to assess 3-dimensional scapular resting position (Figure 2). The device consists of a transmitter that creates an electromagnetic field and receivers that detect the electromagnetic field emitted by the transmitter. The receivers were attached to specific body segments as described in the previous literature. The electromagnetic tracking device recorded the position and orientation of the receivers about the x-axis, y-axis, and z-axis relative to the transmitter (global coordinate system). By digitizing the anatomical landmarks with a stylus, the orientation of one body segment was calculated with respect to another. The data were collected at 100 Hz.

All kinematic assessments were performed with the participants standing with their heels 3 ft (0.9 m) from
the transmitter, because it was determined previously in our laboratory that this region of the measurement space demonstrated the least amount of position (0.7 mm) and orientation (0.27°) error. Additionally, reliability and precision for scapulohumeral motion had been established by our laboratory as an intrasession intraclass correlation coefficient of .967 with 0.94° of error and intersession value of .889 with 2.11° of error.

Procedures

All testing in the current study was performed in a university biomechanics research laboratory. Before testing, each participant provided informed consent as required by the institutional review board, which also approved the study.

We used 6 receivers for bilateral scapular resting position assessment, attached as follows: the spinous process of the seventh cervical vertebra, the flat portion of the acromion processes bilaterally, and the midshaft of the posterior humerus bilaterally. All receivers were secured on the skin using double-sided adhesive disks (3M Health Care, St Paul, MN), prewrap, athletic tape, and a hook-and-loop strap to minimize skin-receiver movement. The sixth receiver was attached to the stylos that was used to palpate and digitize the anatomical landmarks on the upper arm, scapula, and thorax. The anatomical landmarks digitized included the eighth thoracic vertebra, xiphoid process, seventh cervical vertebrae, jugular notch, sternoclavicular joint, acromioclavicular joint, medial scapular border where it intersects with the scapular spine, inferior scapular angle, medial epicondyle, lateral epicondyle, and glenohumeral joint center. Landmarks on the humerus and the scapula were digitized bilaterally. Because the glenohumeral joint center cannot be palpated, it was estimated as the point that moves least with respect to the scapula when the humerus is moved passively through several short arcs. Digitizing these anatomical landmarks on each segment allowed construction of the local coordinate system for each body segment (thorax, scapula, and humerus). Using local coordinate systems, we calculated the position and orientation of the scapula with respect to the thorax.

Each participant performed 10 continuous repetitions of bilateral full-shoulder elevation in the scapular plane (30° anterior to the frontal plane). The volunteer elevated the arm in 2 seconds and lowered the arm in 2 seconds, guided by the metronome. The participants were instructed to bring their arms to rest by their sides at the end of each repetition. This procedure allowed the volunteers to be distracted from the postural assessment, which may have helped to capture their natural posture. Bilateral resting scapular posture was measured as the scapular position and orientation when the arms were at the sides between the 10 repetitions of the elevation task. The averages of the 5 middle recordings for both limbs were used for analysis.

Data Reduction

Raw scapular kinematic data were filtered with a low-pass, 10-Hz Butterworth filter. The position and orientation data of the receivers and the digitized anatomical landmarks were used to construct local coordinate systems for the thorax, scapula, and humerus. The coordinate systems used were in accordance with recommendations from the International Shoulder Group of the International Society of Biomechanics. When the participant stood in an anatomical position, the coordinate system for each segment was vertical (y-axis), horizontal to the right (x-axis), and posterior (z-axis). Scapular orientation was determined as rotation about the y-axis of the scapula (internal-external rotation), rotation about the z-axis of the scapula (upward-downward rotation), and rotation about the x-axis of the scapula (anterior-posterior tipping). We used Euler angle decompositions to determine scapular and humeral orientation with respect to the thorax. The rotation sequence of the Euler angles was chosen based on the recommendation of the International Shoulder Group. The scapula is attached to the thorax via the clavicle, a rigid body with a fixed length; therefore, the position of the scapula could be described as the orientation of the vector extending from the sternoclavicular joint to the acromioclavicular joint with respect to the local coordinate system of the thorax. Anatomically, the vector extending from the sternoclavicular joint to the acromioclavicular joint closely represents the orientation of the clavicle. The scapular protraction-retraction angle was calculated as the angle formed between the vector extending from the sternoclavicular joint points to the acromioclavicular joint points and the frontal plane of the thorax, and the scapular elevation-depression angle was calculated as an angle formed between the vector and the transverse plane of the thorax.

Data Analysis

Between-limbs and between-groups differences in each variable (upward-downward rotation, internal-external rotation, anterior-posterior tilt, protraction-retraction, and elevation-depression) were analyzed using separate within-subjects, between-subjects factor analyses of variance. Tukey post hoc analysis was conducted when the interaction was significant. We performed the statistical analysis using SPSS (version 12; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). The level of significance was set a priori at .05.

RESULTS

Three-dimensional scapular position assessment demonstrated no limb-by-group interaction in any of the scapular
kinematics variables except for protraction-retraction ($P = .005$). The post hoc analysis showed a difference between
the dominant and nondominant shoulders in tennis players
(mean difference $= 5.93^\circ$, honestly significant difference $= 4.49^\circ$, $P < .05$) but not in baseball players (mean difference $= 3.12^\circ$, honestly significant difference $= 4.18^\circ$) or volleyball players (mean difference $= -1.11^\circ$, honestly significant difference $= 4.18^\circ$). A limb main effect was
demonstrated, with the dominant-side scapula being more
internally rotated (dominant $= 30.34^\circ \pm 7.57^\circ$, nondom-
ninant $= 26.47^\circ \pm 7.35^\circ$, $P = .001$) and anteriorly tilted
(dominant $= 15.90^\circ \pm 4.82^\circ$, nondominant $= 14.02^\circ \pm
4.30^\circ$, $P = .001$) compared with the nondominant side
(Table). No bilateral differences in scapular upward-
downward rotation or elevation-depression were identified.

### DISCUSSION

Our objective was to quantify resting scapular posture in
3 groups of healthy overhead athletes and to characterize
any asymmetry. Indeed, we found resting scapular posture
asymmetry between the dominant and nondominant sides
in healthy overhead athletes. Specifically, the dominant
shoulder of the overhead athlete was more anteriorly tilted
and internally rotated. In tennis players, the dominant
shoulder also was more protracted. Because the demands
placed on the shoulders of baseball, volleyball, and tennis
players are different, we expected to see differences in
scapular posture among groups. The increased protraction
found in tennis players (but not in baseball or volleyball
players) may reflect the different sport demands on the
shoulder. Increased moment of inertia of the upper
extremity from holding a tennis racquet may result in
greater stress at the shoulder. However, further biome-
chanical analysis of these overhead athletes is needed to
examine this hypothesis.

Because all participants in this study were asymptomatic,
the presence of postural asymmetry seems to be normal in
the population of unilateral overhead athletes. Therefore,
our results confirm that asymmetric resting scapular
posture exists in healthy overhead athletes. Thus, a clinical
assessment method based on the assumption that posture
in a healthy population is symmetric (such as the lateral
scapular slide test) must be performed with the knowledge
that some level of asymmetry may exist, but it is not
necessarily problematic.

Burkhart et al$^{11}$ reported that injured overhead athletes
typically present with the asymmetrically “dropped”
shoulder on the affected side, caused by increased scapular
protraction, anterior tilting, and internal rotation. This
pattern is similar to the scapular asymmetry we found in
healthy overhead athletes. Our findings suggest that the
asymmetry found in the injured athletes may not neces-
sarily be related to the abnormality. Injured overhead
athletes may display more asymmetry than healthy
overhead athletes, and there may be a pathologic threshold
for scapular posture asymmetry at which an asymmetry
becomes problematic. However, these possibilities cannot
be confirmed without comparing resting scapular posture
asymmetry between healthy and injured overhead athletes.

Decreased scapular upward rotation and increased
protraction, internal rotation, and anterior tipping on the
injured side (the dominant side in most cases) are
commonly found in patients with various shoulder
conditions.$^{20-23}$ The healthy unilateral overhead athletes
in this study exhibited scapular posture characteristics
similar to those found in injured patients, except for the
decline in scapular upward rotation. This finding may
suggest that asymmetrically decreased upward rotation is
associated with injuries. Further investigation is needed to
examine the relationship between the upward rotation
asymmetry and shoulder abnormalities.

The differences in scapular posture between the domi-
nant and nondominant shoulders in this study were less
than $4^\circ$. The scapular asymmetry about each rotation axis
was small, which may be why authors who used 2-
dimensional measuring methods, such as a tape measure$^{7,12}$
or an inclinometer,$^{24}$ were unable to detect asymmetry. The
asymmetric appearance of the scapula may be due to the
combined effect of the rotations about multiple axes.

The anatomical structures contributing to scapular
posture asymmetry are not clearly understood. However,
considering the asymmetric characteristics of overhead
athletes’ shoulders (such as humeral retroversion,$^{25}$ range
of motion,$^{13,24}$ strength,$^{26}$ and posterior shoulder tight-
ness$^{13,27-29}$), asymmetry in the resting shoulder posture is
not surprising. Although assessing range of motion and
posterior shoulder tightness was not the aim of this study,
we (Oyama et al, unpublished data, 2007) evaluated the
range of motion and posterior shoulder tightness in the
participants and found $7^\circ$ to approximately $9^\circ$ of difference
between the dominant and nondominant sides in mean
internal rotation range of motion, external rotation range
of motion, and posterior shoulder tightness. Furthermore,
whether the postural asymmetry we found is unique to the
unilateral overhead athletes due to sport participation or is
common to the general population as a result of hand
dominance is unknown. Unilateral overhead athletes and
nonoverhead athletes need to be investigated in the future.
Clinical Applications

Clinicians evaluating overhead athletes need to keep in mind that some degree of resting scapular posture asymmetry may be present in the shoulders of healthy overhead athletes. Measuring scapular posture after the athlete is injured will not allow the clinician to determine if the asymmetry is a normal adaptation that existed before the injury or an abnormal change associated with the injury. Therefore, measuring baseline scapular posture before preseason training for comparison if the player is injured may help identify the “abnormal asymmetry” related to the injury.

Limitations

Although the healthy overhead athletes displayed asymmetry, the differences we found between the dominant and nondominant shoulders were small (differences = 1.9° to 3.9°) relative to their SDs. Combined with the standard error of the measurement technique itself, the asymmetry we found may be smaller or larger than the true value. Therefore, our results need to be used with caution, and further investigation is warranted. Additionally, resting scapular posture is a static measurement and may not reflect scapular kinematics during functional movements. Thus, when evaluating athletes with shoulder injuries, it is important to assess both resting scapular posture and dynamic scapular kinematics.

Future Directions

Assessing shoulder posture asymmetry in nonoverhead athletes and overhead athletes with various shoulder abnormalities may reveal useful information regarding the postural changes associated with participation in overhead sports and with shoulder conditions. Furthermore, obtaining baseline evaluation of scapular asymmetry and prospectively studying shoulder injuries will provide valuable information regarding the possible cause-and-effect relationship between resting scapular posture and injury.

CONCLUSIONS

The scapula on the dominant side of healthy overhead athletes was more anteriorly tilted and internally rotated during static scapular posture assessment. In tennis players, the dominant shoulder also was more protracted. Clinicians evaluating overhead athletes need to keep in mind that scapular posture asymmetry between the dominant and nondominant sides in unilateral overhead athletes may be normal and not necessarily related to injury. Our results emphasize the importance of baseline evaluation of the scapular posture in overhead athletes for the accurate assessment of the scapular asymmetry after injury.
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